

7/26/73
Money, Attitude

One of the Rich Gang!

Stone Tells How He Assisted Nixon

By MORTON MINTZ

Washington Post News Service

WASHINGTON—President Nixon twice told Chicago insurance magnate W. Clement Stone that he would not have been elected in 1968 had it not been for Stone's gifts of money — \$2.8 million — and of the philosophy known as positive mental attitude.

"On two occasions," Stone said, the President "gave me the highest honor that I will probably ever receive, from my viewpoint. He called me aside and said, 'Clem, you know and I know that I wouldn't be here if it weren't for you.'"

Stone, the President's principal contributor, made the disclosure on KUP's Show, a television program broadcast in Chicago by WMAQ last Sunday. An aide to Stone said Wednesday the President expressed his thanks to Stone at Camp David, Md., after the 1968 election and again at a dinner.

On the program, Stone recalled that he once said he would have spent any amount of money to elect or re-elect "one of the greatest, if not the greatest, of all presidents."

"How much were you ready to go for?" asked columnist Irv Kupcinet, who conducts the program.

"Ten million dollars," replied Stone, who gave the President \$2 million in 1972, for a four-year total of \$4.8 million.

"Now, keep this in mind," Stone said May 28 in an interview with Michael Ryan of Granada Television in Britain. "Everything is relative. With a family worth in those days (the 1960s) better than \$450 million, what's a million or two, particularly when you can change the course of history according to the principles for which you stand?"

Stone emphasized that he also helped Nixon by introducing him to positive mental attitude (PMA) in 1964, when the President was national chairman of the Boys Clubs of America and Stone was a director.

Every member of the organization's board was exposed to PMA concept, and "I know for a fact" that Nixon "and his family have been exposed to it," Stone told Kupcinet. "I know that the books (on PMA) are in the White House."

"I found this terrific change in this man, this drastic change, an almost 180-degree turn from somewhat of a negative personality to a positive personality," Stone continued. "I worked with him. He was exposed to PMA, and I realized that perhaps this was my one chance in an entire lifetime in which I could change the course of history for the better."

Praising the President as "a man of integrity," Stone indicated to Kupcinet that he had been disillusioned little, if at all, by the Watergate scandal.

"Previous to the Watergate hearings, I predicted that under the PMA philosophy with ever adversity there is a seed of an equivalent greater benefit," Stone said.

He went on to predict "that the revealing of these things" in the Watergate hearings "will be one of the most wholesome things that could have happened and that Nixon in time will come up with a mandatory, enforceable code of ethics that will try to prevent a recurrence of Watergate at any level of government."

Stone said he believed that "not a dime" of his \$4.8 million went to any of the improper acts associated with Watergate. He said he based his belief on the fact that, rather than being solicited, he "provided the seed money. I was the one that was going to change the history of the world, so they didn't have to ask me. So I got in early."

During the 1968 campaign, Stone said, Maurice H. Stans, chairman of the Finance Committee to Re-Elect the President, who has been charged with obstruction of justice in an indictment returned in New York City, and Herbert W. Kalmbach, Nixon's personal attorney, came to him for advice.

Stone said he told them that "if you are aiming for a high objective, you must go after large sums."

Replying to a question about the disclosure that the President taped all of his phone calls and conversations, Stone said, "I think it is perfectly proper for him to have done so for many, many reasons," including the need for "historical evidence."

NY TIMES MAG
July 22, 1973

THE densest fog of uncertainty still swirls around the head of Richard Nixon, who finds himself under increasing pressure to speak out more fully, release relevant White House documents and even submit to Congressional questioning. Within a few months, he had suffered an epic fall from grace. He had defused the Vietnam War as a national issue, won the plaudits of a grateful nation for his historic "voyage of peace" to Peking and Moscow—and been returned to office by one of the largest margins of modern times. Now, suddenly, the polls were reflecting a startling loss of public confidence, the once-recumbent Congress was challenging his authority—and he found himself on the defensive, backing and filling before the outpourings of Watergate.

An insistent press and a querulous Congress are asking just how much the President knew, how much he covered up. The answer to these questions will largely determine not just the future of Richard Nixon's Presidency but the public attitude toward political campaigns, indeed toward the entire political process. There may be only a touch of hyperbole in the words which Job Magruder is said to have addressed to Bart Porter when he learned that the CREEP aide was about to tell all to the prosecutors:

My God, you are an ant!
You are nothing! Do you realize the whole course of history is going to be changed?

being able to manipulate and control history...to determine "the course of history".

Of course...in this tremendous, whopping conspiracy...I am positive that key members may have been brainwashed. Sounds crazy, I know...but note that Stone points out that Nixon changed, after "being exposed to PMA". Also, this point would explain the uniformity of the thinking processes of the witnesses before the Senate Watergate Committee.

SCIENTISTS.....

I doubt...that any of you documented these two startling newsclips. These two newsclips, here on this page...is the key, the answer...to "Why Watergate".

First, note what the fabulously wealthy Clement Stone...one of the "rich gang" I've talked about before...states in this article. He was willing to give Nixon ten million...almost anything, really for the thrill, the kick if you will...of being instrumental in "changing the course of history." Note espec. that he uses this phrase not once, but three times.

Next...see the New York Times magazine article clipping. See what Magruder, a member of the now-infamous White House Gang...told Port THE EXACT SAME PHRASE WORD FOR WORD USED BY CLEMENT STONE OVER AND OVER!

I.e., wealth wasn't motivating this crowd power, only incidentally...what WAS motivating these national and international moneyed creeps...was the "kick" out of

Owens
XPK / Man f

Aug 5, 1973

THE NEW YORK TIMES

Watergate Leaves Scars On Young Nixon Loyalist

By STEVEN V. ROBERTS

Special to The New York Times

LAGUNA NIGUEL, Calif., Aug. 4—For two brief days in June Herbert L. Porter was a national figure, testifying about his rather small role in the Watergate drama. Then, as the major characters took over the Senate hearings, he faded rapidly from public view.

But Watergate has left scars on Bart Porter, as it has on many of the earnest young men who toiled loyally for the glory of Richard Milhous Nixon.

Mr. Porter, his wife, Carol, and their three children are now living with her parents in this seaside community not far from the Western White House. He was a successful computer salesman before he joined the Nixon Administration, but he has yet to find a job, and he has plenty of time to think about what he has been through.

In conversations with a reporter, his family and friends, Mr. Porter sees a positive side. He describes his Senate testimony, in which he admitted fabricating a story at the Watergate trial last January, as "great therapy." He feels he is a "better man" for it.

But Mr. Porter also harbors deep resentment for the men who ran the Nixon White House, the men, he feels, who led him to the point where he now faces a possible indictment for perjury.

Aides Seen Misused

"The original sin was not the Watergate bugging—that wasn't right, but that is not really the issue," he said recently, as the morning fog clung to the coast a few blocks away.

"Nor is the issue really the cover-up," he continued. "That was almost a natural outgrowth of the situation. We were in the middle of a campaign. What else do you do?"

"In my opinion," he went on, "the original sin was the misuse of these younger people, not only through Watergate and the cover-up, but through the whole White House system. They were not criminals by birth or design. Left to their own devices, they wouldn't engage in this sort of thing. Someone had to be telling them to do it, to do their dirty work, and damn it, that's a crime!"

That sounds a little "self-

self, or his former colleagues. They did not exactly fight off their seducers. They came to Washington, he pointed out, with vast ambitions, lured by the prospect of power and success, in the same way that young actresses still flock to Hollywood, dreaming of the day when tourists will gawk at their footprints embedded in a sidewalk.

At age 33, Mr. Porter looks like a slightly older version of the big man he once was on the campus of the University of Southern California. In fact, he was friends from U.S.C. including Ronald L. Ziegler, now a Presidential assistant, who recruited him to Washington in 1970. After six months doing odd jobs in the White House, he shifted to the Committee for the Re-election of the President and became its scheduling director.

Concealed Data on Liddy

At the committee, Mr. Porter disbursed some money to G. Gordon Liddy, one of the organizers of the Watergate break-in, for what he thought were legal campaign "dirty tricks." As the Watergate cover-up expanded, Mr. Porter was asked to help conceal Mr. Liddy's real activities, and he did. Why?

Mr. Porter was a long-time supporter of Mr. Nixon, a native of the President's home Congressional district; moreover, he was eager to advance his own fortunes. But in addition to loyalty and ambition, he said, the explanation must include the atmosphere of the White House.

It was a "heady place," he said, what with Henry A. Kissinger walking the halls and John B. Connally eating lunch at the next table. Watching the President leave for China was a rare chance "to see history in the making." Decisions were made every day that affected millions of people.

This "mystique" was enhanced by the judicious distribution of certain perquisites—an invitation to a White House dinner, a weekend at Camp David. Mr. Porter has told friends, only half jokingly, that H. R. Haldeman kept a list of which young staffers he



Associated Press

Herbert L. Porter testifying on Watergate last June

work a whole year of 14-hour days."

Any national Administration has a similar aura, but Mr. Porter feels the zealotry of the Nixon White House was compounded by a special feeling.

"There definitely did exist a 'we-they' attitude," he said, "we" being anyone inside the gate, "they" being all others, particularly the press, and of course the opposition party. On my first or second day in the White House, Dwight Chapin said to me, 'One thing you should realize early on, we are practically an island here.' That was the way the world was viewed."

"Protect the President"

The highest calling was to "protect the President" from the hostile forces that surrounded that "island," he added. It was this defensiveness, Mr. Porter believes, that dictated the almost automatic response that became the Watergate "cover-up."

During his testimony, Mr. Porter was asked by Senator Howard H. Baker Jr., Republican of Tennessee, why he had not acted on his own "conscience" and resisted the tide of events.

"That question sounds good. But in practice we just don't do it," Mr. Porter reflected. "If you question too much, you find yourself out in left field, that's true not just in government, but in business—is much easier to 'go out.' It was very simple."

Last April covered

to say it, I just sobbed. I couldn't believe what was happening to me."

He had just taken a job with a large corporation, which decided it could not "risk the embarrassment" and dismissed him. He had just bought a house in California, which he could no longer afford, and getting out of the deal he lost \$5,000.

Lost Job and House

After weeks of uncertainty and against the advice of attorneys, Mr. Porter decided to tell his whole story to Federal prosecutors and Senate committee, without bargaining for immunity. So explains the decision now:

"It sounds corny, but I think it was the right thing to do. I think we should let the come out, and let the American people judge. It would be the greatest therapy for our country. Lord, know, preach it to our children, should practice it."

After the testimony, Mr. Porter fled Washington "home." According to Mr. Porter, he has found some peace, but he is linked to the events.

As he spoke

u Scientists
July 19, 1973

Mr. Warren Smith
Clinton, Iowa

Dear Warren:

(that dam 's;)

Just a note...to point out to you...that I sent in my precog predictions to you in early June...and one of those, under "Celebrities", stated thast (that dam 's' again) an unusual number of movie stars would pass away within a year or so from the date of my writing to you.

Incredibly, the Virginian-Pilot newspaper today reported the death of Jack Hawkins...famous movie star...and the newsclip went on to state the following:

"Hawkins was the sixth major film performer to die...in two weeks. The others were Robert Ryan, Veronica Lake, Joe E. Brown, Betty Grable, and Lon Chaney, Jr."

How's that for precog forecasting, Warren? (The others I mentioned haven't demised yet.) But I was uncannily accurate on the volume of those demising!

Best....

Ted Owens (PK Man)

Box 48, Cape Charles, Va. 23310

Noted British Actor Dies

LONDON (AP) — Actor Jack Hawkins, who often played the role of the stiff-upper-lip wartime English officer and gentleman, died Wednesday in a London hospital. He was 62.

Hawkins, who had his larynx removed in a 1966 throat cancer operation, had undergone surgery in New York in April to implant an artificial voice box, one of the first to volunteer for such an operation. But he never healed from the operation and soon after his return to England he was forced to enter St. Stephen's Hospital where he began hemorrhaging.

His wife Doreen said: "He was so brave, I just can't tell you. It was remarkable. He never complained and that was what broke my heart."

"It was very courageous of him to become a guinea pig. It would have been marvelous for fellow sufferers if he had recovered. I am so sorry it did not work."

Hawkins did manage to make some films after his initial operation. In 1967, he was coaxed into playing the part of a British ambassador in "Catherine The Great" by coproducers Peter O'Toole and Jules Buck.

"It's ideal for me," he said at the time. "Every time I open my mouth to speak, someone interrupts me."

The voice box surgery, which has been performed on less than a dozen patients, was intended to give Hawkins near-normal speech.

After his larynx was removed, he had learned to speak in what he laughingly called "burps," by forcing air from his lungs and stomach through his throat and mouth.

A London-born actor who first appeared on the stage



Hawkins in New York hospital (UPI)

when he was 13, Hawkins enjoyed a career which spanned the era of George Bernard Shaw and modern Hollywood.

He was only 14 when he was auditioned by Shaw — successfully — for a part in "St. Joan." Hawkins was a London theater star before he was 20 and a stage contemporary of Laurence Olivier.

He began getting small movie parts in 1935 and quickly shot to international stardom.

After World War II, he appeared in screen classics like "The Cruel Sea," "Ben Hur," and "Bridge over the River Kwai." His imposing presence and personality fitted him perfectly for officer roles.

Hawkins interrupted his career briefly after the 1967 operation.

"When I knew I would lose my voice it was pretty shattering," he said. "I could scarcely overcome the black moods of depression that I felt because I no longer could speak."

In "Catherine The Great," just a year after his operation, other actors dubbed in the limited voice parts. Hawkins said at the time he would rather retire than take jobs offered out of pity, but he said O'Toole and Buck spent nine months convincing him that was not the case.

Hawkins was the sixth major film performer to die in two weeks. The others were Robert Ryan, Veronica Lake, Joe E. Brown, Betty Grable, and Lon Chaney Jr.

July 13, 1973

SCIENTISTS

In "What The Seers Predict For 1971" by Brad Steiger and Warren Smith... (Lancer Pocketbook)....in which I predicted : "President Nixon will not end in office. Something must unusual will occur, and he will either resign or be forced out of office." -- page 145

it is most interesting that one of the world's outstanding psychics, Pendragon (who has since expired) predicted on page 204: "Pendragon did see a ray of hope. Coming possibly from the state of Virginia, the clairvoyant envisioned the rise to power of a powerful individual, who will "see the stupidity of the current internal bickering, the pursuit of further bloodshed in Vietnam, and the pouring away of millions of dollars into projects that cannot, at this earthly stage, benefit mankind."

Gentlemen...Pendragon was describing Ted Owens, PK Man. If you doubt it...anyone who has known me, or published my predictions these past 5-10 years...will tell you that the U.S. stupidity in high government, current internal bickering and all; the lousy Vietnam war; and our U.S. wastage of treasure...I've been most outspoken about.

As for Pendragon's second point..."a powerful individual"...I'd like to point out that I have accomplished several hundred miracles. That is POWER.

As for his reference to "rise to power"...I hate politics, or any 'power' frame of reference...so cannot envision this for myself. But if I had it (which I do not, except for my own other-dimensional powers) you would not ever, ever, find any Watergate situation around my power position!

Ted Owens (PK Man)

Owens

Virginian-Pilot, Thursday, July 12, 1973 A9

Thai Rainmakers Go Abroad

BANGKOK — Thai rainmakers are planning two overseas trips later this year, one to West Germany and the other to Australia.

Theparit Devakul, whose rainmaking techniques are reputed to be successful, said the visits

would be their first demonstration trips abroad.

The parit, however, said the group would not be showing the Germans how to make artificial rain "but how to disperse the fog

at Frankfurt Airport" using techniques developed in Thailand.

He said the forthcoming trips demonstrated the growing interest among foreign governments in rainmaking techniques developed and proved in Thailand.

to note that foreign governments are at last getting interested in "rainmaking techniques". Too bad they can't have access to other-dimensional power to make it rain.

SCIENTISTS...when I read this newsclip...I almost fell off my chair laughing. Thai rainmakers indeed. There is only one "rainmaker" in the world...and PK Man is it. Too bad they couldn't have a "rainmaking contest" in which rainmakers from all over the world would be invited to demonstrate their skill. I'd surely like to enter that. I could use the prize money, too. But it is interesting...

Owens

PS...the burglar alarm device mentioned below...cannot be set off by accident, mice, or any other such. ~~XXX~~ An actual attempt must be made to force the door...in order to set off the loud device.

July 15, 1973

SCIENTISTS

Yesterday...startling phenomena with regard to me and my work, presented itself. Quite factual, I might add...and measurable, as you will perceive.

During the afternoon I was teaching a pupil, Bill Quinalty, autohypnosis plus related skills...mental skills.

Quinalty is a Journalist in the Navy...a highly intelligent 30 year old man...with a background in the study of parapsychology.

After I switched off my Brain Wave Synchronizer and told Quinalty to open his eyes...he surprised me by asking me if someone else, a third party, had come into the room while he had his eyes closed and was in light-waking hypnosis. I told him, no, certainly not. But he was certain, quite certain, that a third presence had been in the room while he was being trained.

Because other of my pupils being trained here had had similar psychic phenomena occur to them (see your file) I asked him to get down his impressions on paper, for my files and for a report to add to the other reports. He did so.


Later in the afternoon he and I drove quite a distance away from Cape Charles to a highway restaurant called Cape Center. We went inside and took a table in a far corner...30 feet distance from any of the other customers. We sat there at the table in full view, the two of us. A waitress, approximately 20 feet away from us, who was cleaning off a table, called over to us and asked how many of us were there for her to ~~XXX~~ serve? I looked at Quinalty and he looked at me. We were puzzled by her question, since obviously only the two of us were in our part of the room. I called back to her and asked her what she meant. Oh, I neglected to mention that she asked how many of us there were, "are there three of you"? So I called back to her and asked her to explain that. She told us, "Why, I thought I saw another person sitting with you!" (See statement attached).

So here...within a 3 hour span...two different persons saw or sensed another person near me...a human who, in actual fact, was not there!

It is my understanding that, in the study and research of parapsychology...if two or more persons witness the same psychic phenomena...there is a solid basis for the validity of the psychic occurrence.

It is in this light, and framework, that I make this unusual report to you.

Ted Owens (PK Man)



PS...by the way, last Thursday night an attempt was made on my life, to the best of my knowledge. It was around 3 AM in the morning when the burglar ~~XXXX~~ went off with a loud noise on my bedroom door. (I had just obtained this alarm device recently.) I leaped out of bed, unlatched the door... and as I did so I heard footsteps running from the kitchen out onto the back porch, heard the screen door there slam, and the steps run across the back porch out onto the night. As you know, an attempt was made on my life two years ago by three armed men who had a loaded automatic and a shotgun in Norfolk. I reported this incident to the local police.

July 14, 1973

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

3:30 PM →

While in a training session with Ted Owens at his home, on this date, in the afternoon...after I opened my eyes, having been taught how to use autohypnosis...I asked Owens if anyone had entered the room while my eyes had been closed. He said no. I asked if he had opened the door. He said no. I informed Owens that, while my eyes had been closed, I had sensed a third presence enter the room (opening and closing the door and coming into the room) and coming close to me, observing me (while he, Owens, was seated at his desk, giving me instructions for autohypnosis)...and finally I had felt a strong impact, the only way that I can describe it, on my body, from this third presence in the room.

TS/

Bill R. Quinalty

Bill Quinalty
Box 375
Shelbyville, Indiana

NOTE TO SCIENTISTS:

With relation to the above, Bill Quinalty said "it was so weird; it was so real. I thought one of your family had come up into the room."

Now, why is the above of any significance? See past file material on related phenomena...by other pupils I have taught here...who sensed or saw phenomena at their hotel rooms!

It is another "pattern" of phenomena...which, when two or more people have the relatively same phenomena occur...becomes significant...and this makes five different pupils now who have had phenomena occur here when they came here for training...and all these five were from different geographical areas, and strangers to each other...and came separately, at different times. (Exception, of course, would be the husband and wife combination who both experienced the same phenomena.)

Ted Owens (PK Man)

Owens

July 14, 1973

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

At 6 PM Bill Guinatty and I (Ted Owens) seated ourselves at a table at Cape Center Restaurant, to have dinner. The waitress called over to Bill and I from a distance of 20 feet away: "How many of you? Are there three of you?" Bill and I looked at each other, puzzled. We were sitting at a table by ourselves, no other people within 30 feet. I called the waitress over, (remembering that Bill had experienced sensing a third person present in my office today, when in fact there was none) and asked her why she had asked if there were three at our table? She said, "Why, I thought I saw another person sitting with you!" I was stunned. Two different people today, strangers to each other, and in different geological locations... had seen or sensed another person near me! (This reminded me of when I gave my lecture in Cleveland, Ohio, and afterward a woman came up to the stage and asked me who the man was who had been standing beside me on the stage during my lecture. I told her there was no one. I had been alone. She was upset then, and frightened, insisting she had seen a man beside me. I was amused at that time, and thought her to be over-imaginative. But not after today! Who or what is it that is near me?)

Ted Owens (PK Man)

(Home)
Capeville, VA.
23313

→ + Donna Inohlich

waitress, Cape Center, Cape Charles, Va.

Bill Guinatty (witness to
Bill Guinatty (see his statement
above)
for address)